

Attorney's Docket No.: 003801.P004

PATENT

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office	address and citizenship	are as stated below, next to	o my name.	
I believe I am the original, first, and joint inventor (if p for which a patent is soug	olural names are listed b		elow) or an ori which is claim	ginal, led and
the specification of which				
is attache X was filed	ed hereto. on <u>December 8,1999</u>		_ as	
	Inited States Application	Number <u>09/457,466</u>		
	r PCT International App nd was amended on	lication Number		
a	na was amended on	(if applicable)	 •	
		141		
specification, including the	reviewed and understan e claim(s), as amended	d the contents of the above by any amendment referred	d to above.	
I acknowledge the duty to defined in Title 37, Code of		known to me to be materia Section 1.56.	l to patentabilit	y as
foreign application(s) for p	patent or inventor's certif patent or inventor's cer	35, United States Code, Se icate listed below and have tificate having a filing date I	also identified	l below
Prior Foreign Application(s)			Priority Claimed	
THOI T OTEIGHT Applications	<u>त्रा</u>		, <u>olan</u>	1100
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year File	Yes	No.
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year File	ed Yes	No
Number '	Country	Day/Month/Year File	ed Yes	No
I hereby claim the benefit provisional application(s)		tates Code, Section 119(e)	of any United	States
60/111,717	12/08/98			
Application Number	Filing Dat			
,	•			
Application Number	Filing Dat			

Docket No: 003801.P004 Application No: 09/457,466 I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Number	Filing Date	Status p	atented, pending, abandoned
Application Number	Filing Date	Status p	atented, pending, abandoned
I hereby appoint the persons list part of this document) as my res substitution and revocation, to p and Trademark Office connecte	spective patent attorneys rosecute this application	and patent agent	s, with full power of
Send correspondence to <u>Mic</u>	hael A. DeSanctis	, BLAKELY,	SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR &
ZAFMAN LLP, 12400 Wilshire		os Angeles, Calif	ornia 90025 and direct
telephone calls to <u>Michael</u>	A. DeSanctis ,	(303) 740-1980.	
telephone calls to <u>Michael</u> I hereby declare that all stater statements made on informat statements were made with the are punishable by fine or impostates Code and that such will application or any patent issue	ments made herein of m ion and belief are believ ie knowledge that willfu risonment, or both, und llful false statements m	y own knowledg ed to be true; a Il false statemen er Section 1001	nd further that these ts and the like so made of Title 18 of the United
I hereby declare that all stater statements made on informat statements were made with the are punishable by fine or impostates Code and that such will application or any patent issu	ments made herein of m ion and belief are believ ie knowledge that willfu risonment, or both, und llful false statements m ed thereon.	y own knowledg ed to be true; a Il false statemen er Section 1001	nd further that these ts and the like so made of Title 18 of the United
hereby declare that all stater statements made on informat statements were made with the are punishable by fine or impostates Code and that such will application or any patent issue.	ments made herein of m ion and belief are believ ie knowledge that willfu risonment, or both, und llful false statements m ed thereon.	ny own knowledg yed to be true; and talse statementer Section 1001 ay jeopardize the	nd further that these ts and the like so made of Title 18 of the United e validity of the
I hereby declare that all stater statements made on informat statements were made with the are punishable by fine or impostates Code and that such with application or any patent issue Full Name of Sole/First Inventor Inventor's Signature	ments made herein of m ion and belief are believ ie knowledge that willfu risonment, or both, und llful false statements m ed thereon.	y own knowledg yed to be true; a Il false statemen er Section 1001 ay jeopardize the	nd further that these ts and the like so made of Title 18 of the United e validity of the

Docket No: 003801.P004Z Application No: 09/457,466



APPENDIX A

William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. P42,261; Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. 41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Ronald C. Card, Reg. No. P44,587; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Stephen M. De Klerk, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b); Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. No. 40,992; Matthew C. Fagan, Reg. No. 37,542; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. P41,845; Shervl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Erica W. Kuo, Reg. No. 42,775; Kurt P. Levendecker, Reg. No. 42,799; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b); Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Darren J. Milliken, Reg. 42,004; Lisa A. Norris, Reg. No. P44,976; Chun M. Ng, Reg. No. 36,878; Thien T. Nguyen, Reg. No. 43,835; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 42,034; Dennis A. Nicholls, Reg. No. 42,036; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Babak Redjaian, Reg. No. 42,096; William F. Ryann, Reg. 44,313; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Jeffrey Sam Smith, Reg. No. 39,377; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Vincent P. Tassinari, Reg. No. 42,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; John F. Travis, Reg. No. 43,203; George G. C. Tseng, Reg. No. 41,355; Joseph A. Twarowski, Reg. No. 42,191; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; Glenn E. Von Tersch, Reg. No. 41,364; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Charles T. J. Weigell, Reg. No. 43,398; James M. Wu, Reg. No. P45,241; Steven D. Yates, Reg. No. 42,242; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my patent attorneys, and Andrew C. Chen, Reg. No. 43,544; Justin M. Dillon, Reg. No. 42,486; Paramita Ghosh, Reg. No. 42,806; and Sang Hui Kim, Reg. No. 40,450; my patent agents, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and James R. Thein, Reg. No. 31,710, my patent attorney.

Docket No: 003801.P004 Application No: 09/457,466



Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability



- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

Docket No: 003801.P004 Application No: 09/457,466

-4-